

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/686,354	10/14/2003	Robert D. Tolles	05542-285003 / 2771D2	6702	
7590 08/11/2004			EXAMINER		
Applied Mater	rials, Inc.	CHACKO DAVIS, DABORAH			
Patent Counsel Legal Affairs D		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P.O. Box 450A		1756			
Santa Clara, CA 95052			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/686	,354	TOLLES, ROBERT	Γ D.	
		Examir	ner	Art Unit		
nang s			h Chacko-Davis	1756		
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this community	nication appears on	the cover sheet with the	e correspondence ad	dress	
THE MAILI - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to rep Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD NG DATE OF THIS COMMUI of time may be available under the provision MONTHS from the mailing date of this confor reply specified above is less than thirty for reply is specified above, the maximum suly within the set or extended period for replacived by the Office later than three months at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nonmunication.  (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and ly will, by statute, cause the statutory.	event, however, may a reply be statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frapplication to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely om the mailing date of this co NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	r. mmunication.	
Status						
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) fi	led on 14 October 2	003.			
	action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
close	d in accordance with the prac	tice under <i>Ex parte</i> (	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of	Claims					
4a) O 5)⊡ Claim 6)⊠ Claim 7)⊡ Claim	n(s) <u>22-45</u> is/are pending in the fithe above claim(s) is/an(s) is/are allowed. n(s) <u>22-45</u> is/are rejected. n(s) is/are objected to. n(s) are subject to restrict.	are withdrawn from o				
Application Pa	pers					
	pecification is objected to by the		_			
	rawing(s) filed on is/are		•			
	ant may not request that any objected including sheet(s) including the common transfer including the control of				D 4 404(d)	
	ath or declaration is objected					
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	by ledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations attached detailed Office actions	documents have be documents have be of the priority docuronal Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in Applica nents have been recei ule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National S	Stage	
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of Re	ferences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa			
3) 🛛 Information [	oftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (in Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Mail Date 10/03.		Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-	152)	

Art Unit: 1756

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 22-23, 25, 27-42, and 44-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent No. 6,210,254 (Cook et al) in view of U. S. Patent No. 5,258,236 (Arjavalingam et al).

Cook, in col 5, lines 35-67, in col 6, lines 25-37, and in col 7, lines 7-13, and in col 8, lines 1-12, and in figure 1, and figures 5a through 5d, discloses an exposure method (photolithography process) wherein a mask (reference 18) that comprises a transparent region (reference 22) and an opaque region is disposed between the radiation source and the polishing pad adhesive layer (precursor material layer on a substrate), such that the radiation passes through the transparent region and is blocked at the opaque region, and selectively exposing the precursor material (adhesive layer) through the mask, thereby causing photocuring of only the irradiated portion of the precursor material (claims 22, and 37-39). Cook, in col 5, lines 51-52, discloses that the radiation beam is ultraviolet light (claim 23). Cook, in col 3, lines 15-17, discloses that the mask can be a material that blocks (behaves as a barrier) the ultraviolet light (claim 25). Cook, in col 5, lines 40-44, discloses that the photomask is made of polymeric material (claims 27-28). Cook, in col 3 lines 19-21, discloses that the transparent region

Art Unit: 1756

is an opening (claim 29). Cook, in col 3, lines 23-27, in col 4, lines 1-4, and in col 6, lines 58-67, and in figures 5a-5d, discloses that the mask has a plurality of transparent regions and opaque regions that are circular and the transparent regions (see figure 5b) are circular or formed of arc segments, or of any geometric configuration (claims 30-33). Cook, in col 4, lines 45-48, discloses that the ratio of the surface area of the cured region to a surface area of the uncured (adhesive region) is less than 50% (claim 34). Cook, in col 5, lines 58-61, discloses that the exposure is performed for about 45 seconds (claim 35). Cook, in col 5, lines 53-55, discloses that the UV light source provides an intensity of about 7milliwatts/cm<sup>2</sup> (claim 36). Cook, in col 3, lines 29-47, discloses that the precursor material (adhesive layer) can be cured at different depths (partially cured) (claim 40). Cook, in col 3, lines 48-55, discloses that the precursor material (selected portions) can be completely cured (claim 41). Cook, in col 2, lines 1-14, discloses that the adhesive material layer (precursor material) is acrylic based (claim 42). Cook, in col 6, lines 58-65 and in figure 5b, discloses that the transparent opening is in the center of the mask (claim 44). Cook, in col 2, lines 27-59, discloses that the precursor material (adhesive) comprises rubber material (claim 45).

The difference between the claims and Cook is that Cook does not disclose that the exposure alters the adhesiveness of the polymeric precursor.

Arjavalingam, in the abstract, and in col 2, lines 23-45, discloses that the adhesive layer (separation layer) degrades in its adhesive ness when exposed to UV radiation.

Art Unit: 1756

Therefore, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Cook by altering the adhesiveness of the photopolymerizable layer as taught by Arjavalingam because Arjavalingam in col 2, lines 5-15, discloses that the exposure of the separation layer to the UV radiation enables the separation layer to be released from the substrate with ease.

3. Claims 24, 26, and 43, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent No. 6,210,254 (Cook et al) in view of U. S. Patent No. 5,258,236 (Arjavalingam et al) as applied to claims 10, 12-13, 15, and 18-21 above, and further in view of U. S. Patent No. 4,063,812 (Abraham et al).

Cook in view of Arjavalingam is discussed in paragraph no. 6.

The difference between the claims and Cook in view of Arjavalingam is that Cook in view of Arjavalingam does not disclose that the transparent region of the mask is made of a UV transparent quartz or polymer material (claim 24). Cook in view of Arjavalingam does not disclose that the mask is made of metallic material (claim 26). Cook in view of Arjavalingam does not disclose that the mask is made of borosilicate glass (claim 43).

Abraham, in col 2, lines 45-60, discloses that the transparent regions are UV transparent quartz, and that the mask can be made of metallic material such as silver, or of ceramic material such as borosilicate glass.

Therefore, it would be obvious to a skilled artisan to modify Cook in view of

Arjavalingam by employing the mask material suggested by Abraham and positioning a

Art Unit: 1756

shutter between the mask and the radiation source as taught by Abraham because Cook, in col 3, lines 16-18 discloses that the mask can be made of any material that behaves as a barrier to UV light in the opaque regions and is transparent to UV in the transparent regions, and because Abraham, in col 2, lines 45-50, discloses that the radiation source is not directly irradiated onto the mask, it is passed through suitable filters and shutters prior to irradiating the mask, and in col 3, lines 4-6, discloses that filtering the lamp (using filters, shutters and condensor) enables the observation of Newton fringes that is indicative of the dirt particles in the immediate environment.

## **Conclusion**

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daborah Chacko-Davis whose telephone number is (571) 272-1380. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 - 6:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark F Huff can be reached on (571) 272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

Art Unit: 1756

Page 6

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

 $\mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{p}}$ 

August 9, 2004.

JOHN A. MCPHERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER